**CPT-206-A80S-Lab-2**

**Code Review – B. Hines**

1. **Is the code generally readable and well-documented?**

I found the code to be pretty readable. It was concise, and pretty much simplified (***not bloated***). I found it quite interesting that the coding author used the most recent lecture and applied it to their code (***lambdas and LINQ***). That was a plus because it shows that the coding author has a firm grasp on how to apply what their learning in a clean and efficient manner. Less is best. Upon first glance, it seemed as if there wasn’t enough documentation, but as I read it and compared it with other programs (***including mine***), the documentation-too-was concise and to the point. No waste of words.

1. **Did you find any software defects?**

The program executed well without any errors or glitches. The layout was pretty good; however, the display could have been a little more aesthetically pleasing to the user. Overall, a very good program.

1. **What was one way that their solution differed from yours?**

The author’s coding solution differed from mine in three ways. First, he/she was able to add (and save) an entry to the database. That was something that I had left out. Second, the author’s use of lambdas and LINQ. This really stood out to me. I generally stayed to the script (CH. 12) and didn’t use lambdas. And finally, the use of an exception error for wrong input.

1. **Do you have any other constructive comments for the author?**

I do not have any constructive comments currently. If I was to nit-pick, it would have to be more visually appealing. But that is just my personal preference. When you can learn from another’s code, that let’s you know you have a good program.